

On tail bounds for random recursive trees

Götz Olaf Munsonius

Institute of Mathematics, J.W. Goethe University
60054 Frankfurt a.M., Germany
munsonius@math.uni-frankfurt.de

Abstract

We consider a multivariate distributional recursion of sum-type as arising in the probabilistic analysis of algorithms and random trees. We prove an upper tail bound for the solution using Chernoff's bounding technique by estimating the Laplace transform. The problem is traced back to the corresponding problem for binary search trees by stochastic domination. The result obtained is applied to the internal path length and Wiener index of random b -ary recursive trees with weighted edges and random linear recursive trees. Finally, lower tail bounds for the Wiener index of these trees are given.

Key words: random trees, probabilistic analysis of algorithms, tail bounds, path length, Wiener index

1 Introduction

Many parameters of recursive algorithms, trees or other recursive structures can often be described by a so-called recursion of sum type

$$X_n \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{i=1}^b A_i(I_n) X_{I_{n,i}}^{(i)} + d(I_n, Z) \quad (n \geq 2) \quad (1)$$

where $X_n^{(1)}, \dots, X_n^{(b)}$ have the same distribution as X_n , $d : \mathbb{R}^b \times \mathbb{R}^b \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ and $A_i : \mathbb{R}^b \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ are deterministic functions, $I_n = (I_{n,1}, \dots, I_{n,b}) \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}^b$ and $Z \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^b$ are random vectors with $E[d(I_n, Z)] = 0$, and $X_n^{(1)}, \dots, X_n^{(b)}$, I_n , Z are independent. By $\stackrel{d}{=}$ we denote equality in distribution.

From the algorithmic point of view, such a recurrence arises by considering so-called divide and conquer algorithms. Let Y_n denote the parameter of interest of the algorithm applied to a problem of size n . The algorithm splits the large problem into b subproblems of the smaller sizes $I_{n,1}, \dots, I_{n,b}$. If the considered parameter Y_n is essential given by the (possible weighted) sum of the corresponding parameters of the smaller subproblems, for a matrix C_n the vector $X_n := C_n(Y_n - E[Y_n])$ suffices the recurrence (1) where the coefficients $A_i(I_n)$ are the weights of the subproblems (scaled by C_n and $C_{I_{n,i}}$) and the additional function d gives the cost for splitting the problem in this manner and merging the solutions of the subproblems to a solution of the size n problem. The vector Z attends more universality.

One famous example for a parameter satisfying recursion (1) is the distribution of the number of comparisons made by quicksort which is equal in distribution to the internal path length of the random binary search tree. McDiarmid and Hayward (1996) used martingale difference methods to show upper tail bounds for it. Rösler (1992) as well as Fill and Janson (2001) obtained upper bounds for its Laplace transform by induction. Having upper bounds for the Laplace transform, they concluded upper bounds for the tails of the distribution by application of Chernoff's bounding technique. Ali Khan and Neininger (2007) generalized this procedure to the two-dimensional recursion for the Wiener index and the internal path length of the random binary search tree extending their technique in Ali Khan and Neininger (2004) for the analysis of tail bounds for the complexity of a randomized algorithm to evaluate game trees.

In this paper, we apply the method of Ali Khan and Neininger (2007) to multivariate functionals satisfying recursion (1) where the operator norm of the coefficient matrices A_i can be stochastically bounded in a special way. We denote by $\preceq_{\text{st}}$ the stochastic order and by U a random variable uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$. The fundamental result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X_n be a solution of the distributional recursion (1). Assume that $X_1 = 0$, $\|d(I_n, Z)\| \leq D$ almost surely for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for a constant $D \in \mathbb{R}$ and that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^b \|A_i(I_n)\|_{\text{op}}^2 \preceq_{\text{st}} 1 - U(1 - U)$$

as well as $\|A_i\|_{\text{op}} \leq 1$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, b\}$. Let $\gamma \approx 2.0047$ be the positive solution of $12/7 = e^{2/\gamma} - 2/\gamma$ and $L_0 \approx 5.0177$ be the largest root of $e^L = 6L^2$. Then we have for all $t > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any component $X_{n,j}$ of X_n

$$(j \in \{1, \dots, k\}) \text{ with } C := 48D/\gamma + D\sqrt{48(48/\gamma^2 - 5)},$$

$$P(X_{n,j} > t) \leq \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{10\gamma^2 D^2}\right), & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 5\gamma D, \\ \exp\left(\frac{5}{2} - \frac{t}{\gamma D}\right), & \text{if } 5\gamma D < t \leq C, \\ \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{96D^2}\right), & \text{if } C < t \leq 48DL_0, \\ \exp\left(24L_0^2 - \frac{L_0}{D}t\right), & \text{if } 48DL_0 < t \leq 4De^{L_0}, \\ \exp\left(\frac{t}{D} - \frac{t}{D} \log\left(\frac{t}{4D}\right)\right), & \text{if } 4De^{L_0} < t. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

The same bounds hold for the left tail $P(X_{n,j} < -t)$.

As an application of Theorem 1.1, we obtain upper tail bounds for the distribution of the internal path length and the Wiener index, in random b -ary recursive trees with weighted edges by showing the stochastic domination condition. The distance between two nodes in a tree is defined as the number of edges on the unique path between the two nodes. Then, the internal path length of a rooted tree is the sum of all node depths of the tree where the depth of a node is its distance to the root, and the Wiener index is the sum of the distances between all unordered pairs of nodes.

The b -ary recursive tree with weighted edges can be considered as a special case of the tree model in the paper of Broutin and Devroye (2006) in discrete time where the lifetimes of the edges are independent exponentially distributed random variables. The shape of the random tree is also obtained as an increasing tree due to Bergeron et al. (1992) and is a special case of the general model of random trees in Broutin et al. (2008).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $Y_n := (W_n, P_n)^T$ denote the vector consisting of the Wiener index and the internal path length of a random b -ary recursive tree of size n with edge weights Z where $\|Z\|$ is bounded almost surely. Then there exists a constant D such that we have in the recursive formula (1) for X_n given by*

$$X_n := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{n} \end{bmatrix} (Y_n - E[Y_n])$$

almost surely $\|d(I_n, Z)\| \leq D$ and the bounds (2) of Theorem 1.1 are valid for

$$P\left(\frac{W_n - E[W_n]}{n^2} > t\right) \quad \text{and} \quad P\left(\frac{P_n - E[P_n]}{n} > t\right)$$

as well as for the corresponding left tails $P(X_{n,j} < -t)$ (for $j = 1, 2$).

Using the asymptotic expansion of the expectation of the internal path length and the Wiener index, the following asymptotic tail bounds are obtained.

Corollary 1.3. *Let P_n denote the internal path length and W_n be the Wiener index of a random b -ary recursive tree of size n with edge weights Z where $\|Z\|$ is bounded almost surely. Then, there exists a constant $D > 0$ such that for $t > 0$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$ it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} P(|P_n - E[P_n]| > tE[P_n]) \\ \leq \exp \left(-\frac{b}{b-1} \frac{\mu}{D} t \log n \left(\log^{(2)} n + \log t + \alpha + o(1) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P(|W_n - E[W_n]| > tE[W_n]) \\ \leq \exp \left(-\frac{b}{b-1} \frac{\mu}{D} t \log n \left(\log^{(2)} n + \log t + \alpha + o(1) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = E[Z_1]$ and $\alpha := \log(b\mu/(4D(b-1)e))$.

Finally, by special choices of the edge weights and the use of transfer results in Munsonius (2010b), the corresponding bounds for random linear recursive trees are obtained. The model of linear recursive trees is introduced by Pittel (1994). Starting with the root, the linear recursive tree grows node by node. In each step the new node is attached to a randomly chosen node of the previous ones. The probability that node u is chosen is proportional to the weight $w_u = 1 + \beta \deg(u)$ where $\deg(u)$ is the number of children of u and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is the parameter of the tree. This tree model encompasses as special cases the random recursive tree ($\beta = 0$) and the plane oriented recursive tree ($\beta = 1$).

Corollary 1.4. *Let P_n denote the internal path length of a random linear recursive tree of size n with weight function $u \mapsto 1 + (b-2) \deg(u)$ for $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \geq 2$. Then there exists $D > 0$ such that for $t > 0$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have for $(P_n - E[P_n])/n$ the same tail bounds as in Theorem 1.2 and in particular we have for $t > 0$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\begin{aligned} P(|P_n - E[P_n]| > tE[P_n]) \\ \leq \exp \left(-\frac{1}{b-1} \frac{1}{D} t \log n \left(\log^{(2)} n + \log t + \alpha + o(1) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

with $\alpha := -\log(4D(b-1)e)$.

Corollary 1.5. *Let W_n denote the Wiener index of a random linear recursive tree of size n with weight function $u \mapsto 1 + (b-2) \deg(u)$ for $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \geq 2$. Then there exists $D > 0$ such that we have for $t > 0$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$P(|W_n - E[W_n]| > tE[W_n])$$

$$\leq \exp \left(-\frac{1}{b-1} \frac{1}{D} t \log n \left(\log^{(2)} n + \log t + \alpha + o(1) \right) \right)$$

with $\alpha := -\log(4D(b-1)e)$.

Using the WKB method Knessl and Szpankowski (1999) argue for very sharp bounds for the tail of the limit distribution of the internal path length of random binary search trees. In Rüschendorf and Schopp (2007) general upper bounds for tails of distributions given by a recursion of sum type are shown in the one-dimensional case. For simply generated trees, asymptotics for the right tail of the limit distribution of the total path length and the Wiener index are shown in Chassaing and Janson (2004) and Fill and Janson (2009).

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we consider the general recursion formula (1) and give a proof for the upper tail bound in Theorem 1.1. The b -ary recursive tree with weighted edges is defined in section 3. We then show the stochastic domination condition in this case by a coupling argument and conclude Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 in section 3.1. Finally, we conclude by transfer results from Munsonius (2010b) the upper tail bounds in case of random linear recursive trees (Corollary 1.4 and Corollary 1.5) in section 3.2. At the end, we give a summary of corresponding results concerning lower tail bounds for the Wiener index in section 4.

We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^k$ and by $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$ the operator norm for matrices. Equality in distribution is written as $\stackrel{d}{=}$. For functions f and g we write $f = o(g)$, $f = O(g)$ and $f = \Theta(g)$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)/g(n) = 0$, $|f(n)/g(n)| \leq C$ and $c \leq |f(n)/g(n)| \leq C$ for all n with some constants $0 < c \leq C < \infty$ respectively.

Acknowledgment

The author thanks Ralph Neininger for posing the problem of tail bounds and pointing some related literature out to him.

2 Upper tail bound for a general recursion

We consider a random k -dimensional vector $X_n = (X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,k})$ which solves the distributional recursion formula

$$X_n \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{i=1}^b A_i(I_n) X_{I_n,i}^{(i)} + d(I_n, Z)$$

where $X_n^{(1)}, \dots, X_n^{(b)}$ have the same distribution as X_n , $d : \mathbb{R}^b \times \mathbb{R}^b \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ and $A_i : \mathbb{R}^b \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ are deterministic functions, $Z \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^b$ and $I_n =$

$(I_{n,1}, \dots, I_{n,b}) \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}^b$ are random vectors with $E[d(I_n, Z)] = 0$, and $X_n^{(1)}, \dots, X_n^{(b)}, I_n, Z$ are independent.

We denote by $\preceq_{\text{st}}$ the stochastic order and by U a random variable uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X_n be a solution of the distributional recursion (1). Assume that $X_1 = 0$, $\|d(I_n, Z)\| \leq D$ almost surely for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for a constant $D \in \mathbb{R}$ and that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^b \|A_i(I_n)\|_{\text{op}}^2 \preceq_{\text{st}} 1 - U(1 - U) \quad (3)$$

as well as $\|A_i\|_{\text{op}} \leq 1$. Let $\gamma \approx 2.0047$ be the positive solution of

$$\frac{12}{7} = e^{\frac{2}{\gamma}} - \frac{2}{\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad K = \frac{5}{2} D^2 \gamma^2.$$

Then we have for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^k$ with $\|s\| \leq 1/(\gamma D)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$E[\exp(\langle s, X_n \rangle)] \leq \exp(K\|s\|^2).$$

Proof. We show the claim by induction on n . For $n = 1$ we have $X_1 = 0$ and there is nothing to show.

Using the recursion formula and the given independence we get for $n \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} & E[\exp(\langle s, X_n \rangle)] \\ &= E \left[\exp \left(\left\langle s, \sum_{i=1}^b A_i(I_n) X_{I_{n,i}}^{(i)} + d(I_n, Z) \right\rangle \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{x \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}^b} E \left[e^{\langle s, d(x, Z) \rangle} \right] \prod_{i=1}^b E \left[\exp \left(\left\langle (A_i(x))^T s, X_{x_i}^{(i)} \right\rangle \right) \mid I_n = x \right] P(I_n = x). \end{aligned}$$

The assumption $\|A_i(x)\|_{\text{op}} \leq 1$ implies $\|A_i(x)^T s\| \leq \|s\| \|A_i(x)\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|s\|$. Since for every $i \in \{1, \dots, b\}$ we have $x_i \leq n-1$ we can apply the induction hypothesis. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & E[\exp(\langle s, X_n \rangle)] \\ &\leq \sum_{x \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}^b} E[\exp(\langle s, d(x, Z) \rangle)] \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^b K\|s\|^2 \|A_i(x)\|_{\text{op}}^2 \right) P(I_n = x) \\ &= E \left[\exp(\langle s, d(I_n, Z) \rangle) \exp \left(K\|s\|^2 \sum_{i=1}^b \|A_i(I_n)\|_{\text{op}}^2 \right) \right]. \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

By condition (3) and monotonicity of $x \mapsto e^{\lambda x}$ we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} E[\exp(\langle s, X_n \rangle)] &\leq E[\exp(\langle s, d(I_n, Z) \rangle) \exp(K\|s\|^2(1 - U(1 - U)))] \\ &= e^{K\|s\|^2} E[\exp(\langle s, d(I_n, Z) \rangle) \exp(-K\|s\|^2 U(1 - U))]. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Hence, using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} &(E[\exp(\langle s, d(I_n, Z) \rangle) \exp(-K\|s\|^2 U(1 - U))])^2 \\ &\leq E[\exp(2\langle s, d(I_n, Z) \rangle)] E[\exp(-2K\|s\|^2 U(1 - U))] \\ &\leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

By assumption $\|d(I_n, Z)\| \leq D$ holds almost surely and $E[d(I_n, Z)] = 0$. Thus, we get for $\|s\| \leq 1/(\gamma D)$

$$\begin{aligned} E[\exp(2\langle s, d(I_n, Z) \rangle)] &= 1 + E\left[\langle s, d(I_n, Z) \rangle^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^k \langle s, d(I_n, Z) \rangle^{k-2}}{k!}\right] \\ &\leq 1 + \|s\|^2 D^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^k}{k! \gamma^{k-2}} \\ &= 1 + \|s\|^2 D^2 \gamma^2 \left(e^{\frac{2}{\gamma}} - 1 - \frac{2}{\gamma}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

For all $x > 0$ we have

$$e^{-x} \leq 1 - x + \frac{x^2}{2}.$$

This yields for the second factor in (6)

$$\begin{aligned} E[e^{-2K\|s\|^2 U(1-U)}] &\leq E[1 - 2K\|s\|^2 U(1 - U) + 2K^2\|s\|^4 U^2(1 - U)^2] \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{3}K\|s\|^2 + \frac{1}{15}K^2\|s\|^4. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

With (7) and (8) we see that (6) will follow from

$$\left(1 + \|s\|^2 D^2 \gamma^2 \left(e^{\frac{2}{\gamma}} - 1 - \frac{2}{\gamma}\right)\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}K\|s\|^2 + \frac{1}{15}K^2\|s\|^4\right) \leq 1.$$

This in turn is equivalent to $f(\|s\|) \leq 0$ for

$$\begin{aligned} f(\|s\|) &:= D^2 \gamma^2 \left(e^{\frac{2}{\gamma}} - 1 - \frac{2}{\gamma}\right) - \frac{1}{3}K \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{1}{3}K D^2 \gamma^2 \left(e^{\frac{2}{\gamma}} - 1 - \frac{2}{\gamma}\right) - \frac{1}{15}K^2\right) \|s\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{15} K^2 D^2 \gamma^2 \left(e^{\frac{2}{\gamma}} - 1 - \frac{2}{\gamma} \right) \|s\|^4. \quad (9)$$

We substitute $K = 5/2D^2\gamma^2$ and $e^{2/\gamma} - 1 - 2/\gamma = 5/7$ and obtain

$$f(\|s\|) = D^2 \gamma^2 \left(\frac{5}{7} - \frac{5}{6} + \left(\frac{5}{12} - \frac{25}{42} \right) (D\gamma\|s\|)^2 + \frac{25}{84} (D\gamma\|s\|)^4 \right).$$

We see that $f(0) \leq 0$ and $f(1/(\gamma D)) = 0$. Since f is a biquadratic function in $\|s\|$ with a positive coefficient corresponding to $\|s\|^4$ and $f(0) \leq 0$ it has at most two real roots. On the interval between these two roots the function is negative and outside this interval the function takes only positive values. Since $f(1/(\gamma D)) = 0$ we therefore get $f(\|s\|) \leq 0$ for all s with $0 \leq \|s\| \leq 1/(\gamma D)$. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let X_n be a solution of the distributional recursion (1). Assume that $X_1 = 0$, $\|d(I_n, Z)\| \leq D$ almost surely for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for a constant $D \in \mathbb{R}$ and that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^b \|A_i(I_n)\|_{\text{op}}^2 \preceq_{\text{st}} 1 - U(1 - U)$$

as well as $\|A_i\|_{\text{op}} \leq 1$. Let $\gamma \approx 2.0047$ be the positive solution of $\frac{12}{\gamma} = e^{\frac{2}{\gamma}} - \frac{2}{\gamma}$ and $L_0 \approx 5.0177$ be the largest root of $e^L = 6L^2$. Then we have for $1/(\gamma D) \leq \|s\| \leq L$

$$E[\exp(\langle s, X_n \rangle)] \leq \exp(K_L \|s\|^2)$$

where

$$K_L := \begin{cases} 24D^2, & \text{for } 1/(\gamma D) < L \leq L_0/D, \\ 4\frac{1}{L^2}e^{LD}, & \text{for } L_0/D < L. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We again use induction on n . For $n = 1$ there is nothing to show. We use the same arguments as in the beginning of the proof of Lemma 2.1 and get (5):

$$E[e^{\langle s, X_n \rangle}] \leq e^{K_L \|s\|^2} E[\exp(\langle s, d(I_n, Z) \rangle) \exp(-K_L \|s\|^2 U(1 - U))]$$

for a random variable U which is uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$. Hence, it suffices to prove (6) under the new assumptions. Since $\|d(I_n, Z)\| \leq D$ almost surely the proof is completed by showing

$$e^{D\|s\|} E[e^{-K_L \|s\|^2 U(1-U)}] \leq 1.$$

Fill and Janson (2001, Section 4) proved that for any $K > 0$

$$E [\exp (-2K\|s\|^2 U(1-U))] \leq \frac{1 - \exp \left(-K \frac{\|s\|^2}{2} \right)}{K \frac{\|s\|^2}{2}} \quad (10)$$

and for $0.42 \leq |\lambda| \leq M$

$$e^{|\lambda|} \frac{1 - \exp \left(-K_M \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \right)}{K_M \frac{\lambda^2}{2}} \leq 1 \quad \text{when} \quad K_M = \begin{cases} 12, & \text{for } M \leq L_0, \\ 2e^M/M^2, & \text{for } L_0 < M. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

In the present situation, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} e^{D\|s\|} E \left[e^{-K_L \|s\|^2 U(1-U)} \right] &\leq e^{D\|s\|} \frac{1 - \exp \left(-\frac{K_L}{2D^2} \frac{D^2 \|s\|^2}{2} \right)}{\frac{K_L}{2D^2} \frac{D^2 \|s\|^2}{2}} \\ &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

when $1/\gamma \leq D\|s\| \leq LD$ and

$$K_L = \begin{cases} 24D^2, & \text{for } L \leq L_0/D, \\ 4\frac{1}{L^2}e^{LD}, & \text{for } L_0/D < L. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we obtain the claim because it is $1/\gamma \geq 0.42$. $\square$

We summarize the results of the two preceding lemmas.

Corollary 2.3. *Let X_n be a solution of the distributional recursion (1). Assume that $X_1 = 0$, $\|d(I_n, Z)\| \leq D$ almost surely for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for a constant $D \in \mathbb{R}$ and that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^b \|A_i(I_n)\|_{\text{op}}^2 \preceq_{\text{st}} 1 - U(1-U)$$

as well as $\|A_i\|_{\text{op}} \leq 1$. Let $\gamma \approx 2.0047$ be the positive solution of $12/7 = e^{2/\gamma} - 2/\gamma$ and $L_0 \approx 5.0177$ be the largest root of $e^L = 6L^2$. Then we have for every s and $n \geq 1$

$$E [\exp (\langle s, X_n \rangle)] \leq \begin{cases} \exp \left(\frac{5}{2} \gamma^2 D^2 \|s\|^2 \right), & \text{for } 0 \leq \|s\| \leq 1/(\gamma D), \\ \exp (24D^2 \|s\|^2), & \text{for } 1/(\gamma D) < \|s\| \leq L_0/D, \\ \exp (4e^{D\|s\|}), & \text{for } L_0/D < \|s\|. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The bounds for $\|s\| \leq L_0/D$ follow immediately from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2. Since the function $x \mapsto e^{Dx}/x^2$ is monotonically increasing on the interval $[L_0/D, \infty)$, Lemma 2.2 yields also the bound in the case $\|s\| > L_0/D$. $\square$

Now, we get the tail bound for any entry of the vector X_n .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We denote by e_j the vector with 1 in the j -th entry and 0 elsewhere. We use Chernoff's bounding technique and obtain for $u > 0$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ with Corollary 2.3

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_{n,j} > t) &= P(\exp(uX_{n,j}) > \exp(ut)) \\ &\leq E[\exp(uX_{n,j} - ut)] \\ &= E[\exp(u\langle e_j, X_n \rangle - ut)] \\ &\leq \exp(K_u u^2 - ut), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_u = \begin{cases} \frac{5}{2}\gamma^2 D^2, & \text{for } 0 \leq u \leq 1/(\gamma D), \\ 24D^2, & \text{for } 1/(\gamma D) < u \leq L_0/D, \\ 4\frac{e^{Du}}{u^2}, & \text{for } L_0/D < u. \end{cases}$$

For the left tail we receive analogously

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_{n,j} < -t) &= P(\exp(uX_{n,j}) < \exp(-ut)) \\ &\leq E[\exp(-uX_{n,j} - ut)] \\ &= E[\exp(-u\langle e_j, X_n \rangle - ut)] \\ &\leq \exp(K_u u^2 - ut). \end{aligned}$$

In order to minimize this bound we are looking for the minimum of the function $f(u) := K_u u^2 - ut$. This function takes its minimum at $\check{u}_i(t)$ and has the value $f(\check{u}_i(t))$ for

$$\begin{aligned} \check{u}_1(t) &= \frac{t}{5D^2\gamma^2}, & f(\check{u}_1(t)) &= -\frac{t^2}{10\gamma^2 D^2} & \text{for } K_u &= \frac{5}{2}D^2\gamma^2, \\ \check{u}_2(t) &= \frac{t}{48D^2}, & f(\check{u}_2(t)) &= -\frac{t^2}{96D^2} & \text{for } K_u &= 24D^2, \\ \check{u}_3(t) &= \frac{1}{D} \log \frac{t}{4D}, & f(\check{u}_3(t)) &= \frac{t}{D} - \frac{t}{D} \log \frac{t}{4D} & \text{for } K_u &= 4\frac{e^{Du}}{u^2} \end{aligned}$$

where $\check{u}_i(t) \in U_i$ with $U_1 := [0, 1/(\gamma D)]$, $U_2 := (1/(\gamma D), L_0/D]$ and $U_3 := (L_0/D, \infty)$.

If $\check{u}_i(t) \notin U_i$ for a given t , we can take u at the proper boundary of U_i .

Comparing the different values of the minimum for $i = 1, 2, 3$ we obtain the total minimum. For $t \in [0, 5\gamma D]$ we have the following possibilities:

$$\begin{aligned} \check{u}_1(t) &= \frac{t}{5D^2\gamma^2}, & f(\check{u}_1(t)) &= -\frac{t^2}{10\gamma^2D^2} \\ u_2 &= \frac{1}{\gamma D}, & f(u_2) &= \frac{24}{\gamma^2} - \frac{t}{\gamma D} \\ u_3 &= \frac{L_0}{D}, & f(u_3) &= 4e^{L_0} - \frac{L_0}{D}t. \end{aligned}$$

The minimum is given for $\check{u}_1(t)$.

Similarly, we obtain the minimum in the other cases by making the following choices:

for $t \in [5\gamma D, 48D/\gamma + D\sqrt{48}\sqrt{48/\gamma^2 - 5})$

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{\gamma D}, \quad K_u = \frac{5}{2}\gamma^2 D^2, \quad f(u_1) = \frac{5}{2} - \frac{t}{\gamma D},$$

for $t \in [48D/\gamma + D\sqrt{48}\sqrt{48/\gamma^2 - 5}, 48DL_0)$

$$\check{u}_2(t) = \frac{t}{48D^2}, \quad K_u = 24D^2, \quad f(\check{u}_2(t)) = -\frac{t^2}{96D^2},$$

for $t \in [48DL_0, 4De^{L_0})$

$$u_2 = \frac{L_0}{D}, \quad K_u = 24D^2, \quad f(u_2) = 24L_0^2 - \frac{L_0}{D}t,$$

and for $t \in [4De^{L_0}, \infty)$

$$\check{u}_3(t) = \frac{1}{D} \log \frac{t}{4D}, \quad K_{\check{u}_3(t)} = \frac{4e^{D\check{u}_3(t)}}{\check{u}_3(t)^2}, \quad f(\check{u}_3(t)) = \frac{t}{D} - \frac{t}{D} \log \frac{t}{4D}.$$

□

3 Applications to random trees

An example of a vector which satisfies the recursion formula (1) is the vector consisting of the internal path length and the Wiener index of a random tree in which all subtrees are (conditioned upon their sizes) an independent copy of the whole tree.

The internal path length of a rooted tree is the sum of all node depths of the tree. The depth of a node is given by the number of edges on the path from the node to the root. Analogously, the Wiener index is the sum of the distances between all unordered pairs of nodes where the distance is given by the number of edges on the unique path between the two nodes.

3.1 The random b -ary recursive trees with weighted edges

In this section we consider the special case of a random b -ary recursive tree with weighted edges.

The random b -ary recursive tree is a rooted, ordered, labelled tree where the outdegree is bounded by b and the labels along each path beginning at the root increase. We define this tree model by the following recursive procedure. We consider the infinite complete b -ary rooted, ordered tree and start with the root as the first internal node and its b children as external nodes. Given the random b -ary recursive tree with n internal nodes, the $n + 1$ st internal node is added in the following way. We choose a random node uniformly distributed on the set of all current external nodes, change it to an internal one and add the b children of this new node to the set of external nodes. Finally, the nodes are labelled in the order of their appearance.

Let $Z := (Z_1, \dots, Z_b) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^b$ be a random vector with non-negative entries and attach to every node u of the complete infinite b -ary tree an independent copy $Z^{(u)}$ of Z . We consider the entries of $Z^{(u)}$ as weights of the edges from u to its b children. If all $Z^{(u)}$ are independent of T_n , we refer to T_n supplied with the family $\{Z^{(u)}\}$ as a *random b -ary recursive tree with edge weights Z* .

While the entries of the vector Z may depend on each other, we assume that they are identically distributed, i.e. for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, b\}$ we have $Z_i \stackrel{d}{=} Z_j$, and denote its expectation by $\mu := E[Z_1]$. This assumption is not restrictive for the intended limit theorems as can be seen by a permutation argument (see Munsonius, 2010a, p. 14–15). For instance, the shape of the random binary search tree is equally distributed as the shape of the random b -ary recursive tree with edge weights $(Z_1, Z_2) = (1, 1)$ for $b = 2$.

Let $Y_n = (W_n, P_n)$ denote the vector consisting of the Wiener index and the internal path length of the random b -ary recursive tree of size n with edge weights Z . In Munsonius (2010b) it is shown that the vector

$$X_n := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{n} \end{bmatrix} (Y_n - E[Y_n]) \quad (12)$$

satisfies the recursion formula (1) where the matrices $A_i(I_n)$ are given by

$$A_i(I_n) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{I_{n,i}^2}{n^2} & \frac{I_{n,i}(n - I_{n,i})}{n^2} \\ 0 & \frac{I_{n,i}}{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

and the vector $d(I_n, Z)$ is given by

$$d_1^{(n)} = \frac{b}{b-1} \mu \sum_{i=1}^b \frac{I_{n,i}}{n} \log \frac{I_{n,i}}{n} + \sum_{i \neq j} \left(\frac{1}{2} (Z_i + Z_j) + \frac{b}{b-1} \mu \right) \frac{I_{n,i}}{n} \frac{I_{n,j}}{n} + o(1) \quad (13)$$

and

$$d_2^{(n)} = \frac{b}{b-1} \mu \sum_{i=1}^b \frac{I_{n,i}}{n} \log \frac{I_{n,i}}{n} + \sum_{i=1}^b Z_i \frac{I_{n,i}}{n} + o(1). \quad (14)$$

To apply the result of the previous section, we have to prove the stochastic domination condition for the b -ary recursive tree.

3.1.1 Coupling

For $(x_1, \dots, x_b) \in \mathbb{R}^b$ we denote by $(x_{(1)}, \dots, x_{(b)})$ the order statistic, i.e.

$$x_{(1)} \geq x_{(2)} \geq \dots \geq x_{(b)}$$

and the entries of $(x_1, \dots, x_b) \in \mathbb{R}^b$ and $(x_{(1)}, \dots, x_{(b)})$ are the same. We consider the space $\mathbb{R}^b$ with the partial order given by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_b) \leq (y_1, \dots, y_b) \quad :\Longleftrightarrow \quad x_i \leq y_i \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, b\}$$

and define $E_b := \{(x_1, \dots, x_b) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^b \mid x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_b\}$. Moreover, we denote by $PU(b)$ a Pólya urn with balls of b different colors $\{1, \dots, b\}$, which contains at the beginning 1 ball of each color and after a ball of color j is drawn, it is returned to the urn together with another $b-1$ balls of the same color.

Considering the evolution process which yields the random b -ary recursive tree, it is not difficult to see, that the vector of the sizes of the subtrees has the same distribution as the vector of the numbers of drawings of a ball of the different colors in the urn described above (for more details see Munsonius, 2010a, Section 2.2). Using this, the next two lemmas provide the estimate we need.

Lemma 3.1. *For $j \in \{1, \dots, b\}$ let $J_{n,j}$ denote the number of times that the drawn ball is of the color j during the first n drawings of the Pólya urn $PU(b)$ and $I_{n,j}$ the corresponding size for the Pólya urn $PU(b+1)$. Then we have for the vectors $J_n := (J_{n,1}, \dots, J_{n,b})$ and $I_n := (I_{n,1}, \dots, I_{n,b+1})$*

$$(I_{n,(1)}, \dots, I_{n,(b)}) \preceq_{st} (J_{n,(1)}, \dots, J_{n,(b)}).$$

We prove this lemma by using a result about stochastic domination between Markov chains (see Lindvall, 1992, Section IV.5, Theorem (5.8)). With $e_j \in \mathbb{R}^b$ we denote the vector where all entries are 0 except the j -th entry which is 1.

Proof. It suffices to show that there is a coupling of $I'_n := (I_{n,(1)}, \dots, I_{n,(b)})$ and $J'_n := (J_{n,(1)}, \dots, J_{n,(b)})$ such that $I'_n \leq J'_n$ almost surely. The sequence J'_n resp. I'_n is a Markov chain. To write down the transition probabilities we define $\alpha_j : E_b \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ by

$$\alpha_j(x_1, \dots, x_b) := \begin{cases} |\{i \mid x_j = x_i\}|, & \text{if } x_{j-1} > x_j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, the transition probability for J'_n is given by the kernel $K_n : E_b \times E_b \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with

$$K_n(x, x + e_j) := P(J'_{n+1} = x + e_j \mid J'_n = x) = \frac{1 + x_j(b-1)}{b + n(b-1)} \alpha_j(x)$$

for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_b) \in E_b$ and $j = 1, \dots, b$. For the transition probability of I'_n we get the kernel $K'_n : E_b \times E_b \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with

$$K'_n(x, x + e_j) := P(I'_{n+1} = x + e_j \mid I'_n = x) = \frac{1 + x_j b}{b + 1 + nb} \alpha_j(x)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, b$ and

$$K'_n(x, x) := P(I'_{n+1} = x \mid I'_n = x) = \frac{1 + \left(n - \sum_{i=1}^b x_i\right) b}{b + 1 + nb}.$$

Let $x, y \in E_b$ with $y \leq x$. We claim that $K'_n(y, \cdot)$ is stochastically dominated by $K_n(x, \cdot)$.

If

$$P(I'_{n+1} = y + e_j \mid I'_n = y) > 0$$

we have $\alpha_j(y) \neq 0$. For $y_j < x_j$ we get $y + e_j \leq x$. Thus, we only have to consider the case where $\alpha_j(y) \neq 0$ and $y_j = x_j$. Let $j_1, \dots, j_m$ be the components for which $\alpha_{j_l}(y) \neq 0$ and $x_{j_l} = y_{j_l}$ for $1 \leq l \leq m$. Then we have $\alpha_{j_l}(x) \geq \alpha_{j_l}(y)$ because $x_{j_l-1} \geq y_{j_l-1} > y_{j_l} = x_{j_l}$. Since $x_{j_l} \leq n$ we get

$$\frac{1 + x_{j_l}(b-1)}{b + n(b-1)} \geq \frac{1 + x_{j_l}b}{b + 1 + nb}.$$

This yields for all $l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

$$K_n(x, x + e_{j_l}) = \frac{1 + x_{j_l}(b-1)}{b + n(b-1)} \alpha_{j_l}(x) \geq \frac{1 + x_{j_l}b}{b + 1 + nb} \alpha_{j_l}(y) = K'_n(y, y + e_{j_l}).$$

For $i \in \{1, \dots, b\} \setminus \{j_1, \dots, j_m\}$ we obviously have

$$K_n(x, x + e_i) \geq 0 = K'_n(y, y + e_i).$$

Hence, we can find a coupling $(\tilde{J}_{n+1}, \tilde{I}_{n+1})$ of (J'_{n+1}, I'_{n+1}) with

$$P(\tilde{I}_{n+1} \leq \tilde{J}_{n+1} \mid (\tilde{J}_n, \tilde{I}_n) = (x, y)) = 1$$

for all $x, y \in E_b$ with $y \leq x$. This implies that $K_n(x, \cdot)$ dominates stochastically $K'_n(y, \cdot)$. Because of the Markov property we conclude with Lindvall (1992, Section IV.5, Theorem (5.8)) that there exists a coupling $(\tilde{J}, \tilde{I})$ of J' and I' , such that $\tilde{I}_n \leq \tilde{J}_n$ almost surely for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function given by*

$$f(x) = x^4 + (x^2 - x^3) \left(1 + \sqrt{x^2 + 1}\right).$$

Then, for $(x_1, \dots, x_b) \in E_b$ and $(y_1, \dots, y_{b+1}) \in E_{b+1}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^b x_i = \sum_{j=1}^{b+1} y_j = 1$ and $(y_1, \dots, y_b) \leq (x_1, \dots, x_b)$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{b+1} f(y_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^b f(x_i).$$

Proof. We first show that the function f is convex. To do this, we derive the second derivative which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f''(x) &= 12x^2 + (2 - 6x)(1 + \sqrt{x^2 + 1}) + \frac{2(2x^2 - 3x^3)}{\sqrt{x^2 + 1}} + \frac{x^2 - x^3}{(x^2 + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &\geq 10x^2 + (2 - 6x)(1 + \sqrt{x^2 + 1}) + \frac{2(3x^2 - 3x^3)}{\sqrt{x^2 + 1}} + \frac{x^2 - x^3}{(x^2 + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

To show convexity it suffices to show $f'' \geq 0$. Since for $x \in [0, 1]$ it is $x^2 \geq x^3$ it remains to show

$$g(x) := 10x^2 + (2 - 6x)(1 + \sqrt{x^2 + 1}) \geq 0.$$

By consideration of the first and second derivatives we see that the minimum of g is obtained by $x = 3/4$ with $g(3/4) = 0$. Taking everything into account, we obtain $f''(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$ which implies that the first derivative f' is monotone increasing.

By assumption, there exist numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_b \geq 0$ with $x_i = y_i + \alpha_i y_{b+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, b$ and $\sum_{i=1}^b \alpha_i = 1$. The monotonicity of f' and $f(0) = 0$ imply with the mean value theorem

$$\frac{f(x_i) - f(y_i)}{\alpha_i y_{b+1}} = f'(\xi) \geq f'(\eta) = \frac{f(y_{b+1})}{y_{b+1}}$$

for some $\xi \in [y_i, x_i]$ and $\eta \in [0, y_{b+1}] \subset [0, y_i]$. This finally yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^b f(x_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^b (f(y_i) + \alpha_i f(y_{b+1})) = \sum_{i=1}^{b+1} f(y_i).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2. As seen in equation (12) we have for the vector X_n the recursion formula (1) where

$$A_i(I_n) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{I_{n,i}^2}{n^2} & \frac{I_{n,i}(n-I_{n,i})}{n^2} \\ 0 & \frac{I_{n,i}}{n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

For the operator norm we obtain

$$\|A_i(I_n)\|_{\text{op}}^2 = \|A_i^T(I_n)A_i(I_n)\|_{\text{op}}.$$

The matrix $A_i^T(I_n)A_i(I_n)$ is symmetric. Thus, its operator norm is given by the largest absolute eigenvalue. Solving the characteristic equation for the matrix we obtain that its eigenvalue being larger in absolute value is given by

$$\frac{I_{n,i}^2}{n^2} \left(1 - \frac{I_{n,i}}{n} + \frac{I_{n,i}^2}{n^2} + \left(1 - \frac{I_{n,i}}{n} \right) \sqrt{\frac{I_{n,i}^2}{n^2} + 1} \right) = f\left(\frac{I_{n,i}}{n}\right)$$

with the function f as given in Lemma 3.2. This yields with Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.1

$$\sum_{i=1}^b \|A_i(I_n)\|_{\text{op}}^2 \preceq_{\text{st}} \|A_1(J_n)\|_{\text{op}}^2 + \|A_2(J_n)\|_{\text{op}}^2$$

where $J_n = (J_{n,1}, J_{n,2})$ is the vector of the sizes of the subtrees of a random binary search tree, i.e. $J_{n,1}$ is uniformly distributed on $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$ and $J_{n,2} = n-1 - J_{n,1}$. By Lemma 2.2 from Ali Khan and Neininger (2007) we get the stochastic domination condition

$$\sum_{i=1}^b \|A_i(I_n)\|_{\text{op}}^2 \preceq_{\text{st}} 1 - U(1 - U).$$

Considering the toll vector $d(I_n, Z)$ in (13) and (14), the boundedness of $\|Z\|$ implies that its norm is bounded almost surely by some constant D . Furthermore, we trivially have $X_1 = 0$ since the tree with one node is only the root. The claim follows by Theorem 1.1. $\square$

In Munsonius (2010b) the asymptotic expansion of the expectation of P_n and W_n is determined.

Using these results, we obtain asymptotic tail bounds.

Proof of Corollary 1.3. With $y_n = \frac{tE[P_n]}{n} = \frac{b}{b-1}\mu t \log n + O(1)$ we obtain by Theorem 1.2 because of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & P(|P_n - E[P_n]| \geq tE[P_n]) \\ &= P\left(\frac{|P_n - E[P_n]|}{n} \geq y_n\right) \\ &\leq \exp\left(\left(\frac{b}{b-1}\frac{\mu}{D}t \log n + O(1)\right)\left(1 - \log \frac{tb\mu \log n}{4D(b-1)}\right)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{b}{b-1}\frac{\mu}{D}t \log n \left(\log^{(2)} n + \log t + \alpha + o(1)\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

With $z_n = \frac{tE[W_n]}{n^2} = y_n + O(1)$ the claim for W_n follows as well. $\square$

3.2 Random linear recursive trees

In this section we transfer the results for the random b -ary recursive tree with weighted edges to linear recursive trees. In this tree, every node u has a weight w_u . Starting with the root, the tree grows node by node. In each step the new node is attached to a randomly chosen node of the previous ones. The probability that node u is chosen is proportional to the weight w_u of the node. In the case of linear recursive trees the weight is given by $w_u = 1 + \beta \deg(u)$ where $\deg(u)$ is the number of children of u and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is the parameter of the tree.

Given a random linear recursive tree T_n of size n with weight function $u \mapsto 1 + (b-2)\deg(u)$ we consider a b -ary recursive tree $\tilde{T}_{n-1}$ of size $n-1$ where the edges are weighted by the random vector Z which is obtained by a uniformly distributed permutation of the entries of $(1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^b$. In particular, we have $\mu = 1/b$. Denote by $\tilde{P}_{n-1}$ and $\tilde{W}_{n-1}$ (resp. P_n and W_n) the internal path length and the Wiener index of $\tilde{T}_{n-1}$ (resp. T_n).

Proof of Corollary 1.4. With the notation above, it is shown in Munsonius

(2010b) that

$$P_n \stackrel{d}{=} \tilde{P}_{n-1} + n - 1$$

holds. Therefore, we have

$$\frac{P_n - E[P_n]}{n} \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{\tilde{P}_{n-1} - E[\tilde{P}_{n-1}]}{n}.$$

The claim follows immediately by Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 1.5. With the notation above, it is shown in Munsonius (2010b) that

$$W_n \stackrel{d}{=} \tilde{W}_{n-1} - \tilde{P}_{n-1} + (n-1)^2$$

holds. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} P(|W_n - E[W_n]| > tE[W_n]) \\ = P(|\tilde{W}_{n-1} - E[\tilde{W}_{n-1}] - \tilde{P}_{n-1} + E[\tilde{P}_{n-1}]| > tE[W_{n-1}]). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, in Munsonius (2010b) it is shown that $\text{Var}(\tilde{P}_{n-1}) = \Theta(n^2)$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Applying Chebycheff's inequality, we obtain for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$P\left(\frac{|\tilde{P}_{n-1} - E[\tilde{P}_{n-1}]|}{n^2} > \varepsilon\right) \leq O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right).$$

Since $E[\tilde{W}_{n-1}] = E[W_n] + O(n \log n) = \Theta(n^2 \log n)$ this yields with Corollary 1.3

$$\begin{aligned} P(|W_n - E[W_n]| > tE[W_n]) \\ \leq P\left(\frac{|\tilde{W}_{n-1} - E[\tilde{W}_{n-1}]|}{n^2} + \varepsilon > \frac{tE[W_n]}{n^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \\ \leq \exp\left(-\frac{1}{b-1} \frac{1}{D} t \log n \left(\log^{(2)} n + \log t + \alpha + o(1)\right)\right). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Remark 3.3. Random plane oriented recursive trees without the order of the nodes equal in distribution the random linear recursive tree with parameter $\beta = 1$. Since the internal path length as well as the Wiener index are invariant under changing the order of the tree the tail bounds in Corollary 1.4 and Corollary 1.5 with $b = 3$ provides in particular the corresponding tail bounds for the plane oriented recursive tree.

4 Lower tail bounds for the Wiener index

For the number of comparisons made by quicksort a lower bound for the tail is proved in McDiarmid and Hayward (1996). There, a set of binary trees is constructed that has high probability and implies a large number of comparisons. They succeeded in finding lower and upper bounds which have the same asymptotical behavior. This idea is employed by Ali Khan and Neininger (2007) to prove a lower tail bound for the Wiener index of binary search trees.

In Munsonius (2010a, Section 7.2) the construction from Ali Khan and Neininger (2007) is extended to random b -ary recursive trees with weighted edges where at least one entry of Z is 1. This yields the following lower bound on the tail of the distribution of the Wiener index.

Theorem 4.1. *Let W_n denote the Wiener index of a random b -ary recursive tree of size n with edge weights Z where $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_b\} \cap \{1\} \neq \emptyset$ and $Z_i \geq 0$. Then we have for fixed $t > 0$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\begin{aligned} P(|W_n - E[W_n]| > tE[W_n]) \\ \geq \exp\left(-4\frac{b}{b-1}\mu t \log n \left(\log^{(2)} n + O(\log^{(3)} n)\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

With the transfer results already used in section 3.2 we obtain a lower bound for the distribution of the Wiener index of random linear recursive trees.

Theorem 4.2. *Let W_n denote the Wiener index of a random linear recursive tree of size n with weight function $u \mapsto 1 + (b-2)\deg(u)$ for $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \geq 2$. Then we have for fixed $t > 0$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\begin{aligned} P(|W_{n+1} - E[W_{n+1}]| > tE[W_{n+1}]) \\ \geq \exp\left(-4\frac{1}{b-1}t \log n \left(\log^{(2)} n + O(\log^{(3)} n)\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.3 holds true also for the lower tail bound.

Remark 4.3. The constants D arising in the results depend on the specific toll function which in turn depends on the functional and the tree model considered. Since the toll function in (13) and (14) is only known up to a $o(1)$ -term, it is in general not possible to determine this constant. Nevertheless, it is an analytical problem and should be solvable for special functionals and tree models. For instance, in the case of the vector (W_n, P_n) of the binary search tree, Ali Khan and Neininger (2007) showed $D \leq 1$.

References

- T. Ali Khan and R. Neininger. Probabilistic analysis for randomized game tree evaluation. In *Mathematics and computer science. III*, Trends Math., pages 163–174. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.
- T. Ali Khan and R. Neininger. Tail bounds for the Wiener index of random trees. In *2007 Conference on Analysis of Algorithms, AofA 07*, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. Proc., AH, pages 279–289. Assoc. Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci., Nancy, 2007.
- F. Bergeron, P. Flajolet, and B. Salvy. Varieties of increasing trees. In *CAAP '92 (Rennes, 1992)*, volume 581 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 24–48. Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- N. Broutin and L. Devroye. Large deviations for the weighted height of an extended class of trees. *Algorithmica*, 46(3–4):271–297, 2006.
- N. Broutin, L. Devroye, E. McLeish, and M. de la Salle. The height of increasing trees. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 32(4):494–518, 2008.
- P. Chassaing and S. Janson. The center of mass of the ISE and the Wiener index of trees. *Electron. Comm. Probab.*, 9:178–187 (electronic), 2004.
- J. A. Fill and S. Janson. Approximating the limiting Quicksort distribution. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 19(3–4):376–406, 2001.
- J. A. Fill and S. Janson. Precise logarithmic asymptotics for the right tails of some limit random variables for random trees. *Ann. Comb.*, 12(4):403–416, 2009.
- C. Knessl and W. Szpankowski. Quicksort algorithm again revisited. *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 3(2):43–64 (electronic), 1999.
- T. Lindvall. *Lectures on the Coupling Method*. Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics: Probability and Mathematical Statistics. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1992. A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- C. J. H. McDiarmid and R. B. Hayward. Large deviations for Quicksort. *J. Algorithms*, 21(3):476–507, 1996.
- G. O. Munsonius. *Limit Theorems for Functionals of Recursive Trees*. PhD thesis, University of Freiburg, Germany, 2010a.
<http://www.freidok.uni-freiburg.de/volltexte/7472/>

-
- G. O. Munsonius. The total Steiner k -distance for b -ary recursive trees and linear recursive trees. In *2010 Conference on Analysis of Algorithms, AofA 10*, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. Proc., AH, pages 529–550. Assoc. Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci., Nancy, 2010b.
- B. Pittel. Note on the heights of random recursive trees and random m -ary search trees. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 5(2):337–347, 1994.
- U. Rösler. A fixed point theorem for distributions. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 42(2):195–214, 1992.
- L. Rüschendorf and E.-M. Schopp. Exponential bounds and tails for additive random recursive sequences. *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 9(1): 333–352, 2007.